



Neveu-Schwarz and operators algebras II: Unitary series and characters.

Sébastien Palcoux

► To cite this version:

Sébastien Palcoux. Neveu-Schwarz and operators algebras II: Unitary series and characters.. 2010.
hal-00522527v2

HAL Id: hal-00522527

<https://hal.science/hal-00522527v2>

Preprint submitted on 6 Oct 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Neveu-Schwarz and operators algebras II

Unitary series and characters

Sébastien Palcoux

Abstract

This paper is the second of a series giving a self-contained way from the Neveu-Schwarz algebra to a new series of irreducible subfactors. Here we give a unitary complete proof of the classification of the unitary series of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra, by the way of GKO construction, Kac determinant and FQS criterion. We then obtain the characters directly, without Feigin-Fuchs resolutions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Goddard-Kent-Olive framework	8
3	Kac determinant formula	15
4	Friedan-Qiu-Shenker unitarity criterion	19
5	Wassermann's argument	27

1 Introduction

1.1 Background of the series

In the 90's, V. Jones and A. Wassermann started a program whose goal is to understand the unitary conformal field theory from the point of view of operator algebras (see [6], [20]). In [21], Wassermann defines and computes the Connes fusion of the irreducible positive energy representations of the loop group $LSU(n)$ at fixed level ℓ , using primary fields, and with consequences in the theory of subfactors. In [18] V. Toledano Laredo proves the Connes fusion rules for $LSpin(2n)$ using similar methods. Now, let $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ be the diffeomorphism group on the circle, its Lie algebra is the Witt algebra \mathfrak{W} generated by d_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), with $[d_m, d_n] = (m - n)d_{m+n}$. It admits a unique central extension called the Virasoro algebra \mathfrak{Vir} . Its unitary positive energy representation theory and the character formulas can be deduced by a so-called Goddard-Kent-Olive (GKO) coset construction from the theory of $LSU(2)$ and the Kac-Weyl formulas (see [22], [5]). In [14], T. Loke uses the coset construction to compute the Connes fusion for \mathfrak{Vir} . Now, the Witt algebra admits two supersymmetric extensions \mathfrak{W}_0 and $\mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$ with central extensions called the Ramond and the Neveu-Schwarz algebras, noted \mathfrak{Vir}_0 and $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$. In this series ([15], this paper and [16]), we naturally introduce $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ in the vertex superalgebra context of $L\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we give a complete proof of the classification of its unitary positive energy representations, we obtain directly their character; then we give the Connes fusion rules, and an irreducible finite depth type II_1 subfactors for each representation of the discrete series. Note that we could do the same for the Ramond algebra \mathfrak{Vir}_0 , using twisted vertex module over the vertex operator algebra of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$, as R. W. Verrill [19] and Wassermann [23] do for twisted loop groups.

1.2 Overview of the paper

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, using theta functions framework, we obtain the decomposition of $H = \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})$ as $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. The multiplicity spaces of irreducible components H_k are superintertwiners space $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(H_k, H)$; we deduce their character as module of $\mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$, which acts on with $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ as submodule by GKO construction. The unitarity of the discrete series follows.

We define irreducible polynomial $\varphi_{pq}(c, h)$ from (c_m, h_{pq}^m) . The Kac determinant $\det_n(c, h)$ of the sesquilinear form on $V(c, h)$ at level n is easily interpolate, as a product of φ_{pq} , computing the first examples. To prove it, we enlight links between previous characters results and singular vectors s (i.e. $G_{1/2}.s = G_{3/2}.s = 0$), whose the existence vanishes \det_n .

A negative Kac determinant shows easily a ghost on the region between the curves $h = h_{pq}^c$. Now, we go from the no-ghost region $h > 0, c > 3/2$ to an order 1 vanishing curve C ; then, on the other side, there is a ghost. By transversality, it pass on the curve intersecting C next. And so on each curves, excepting ‘first intersections’: discrete series. Theorem 1.2 follows.

Finally, a coherence argument between the characters of the multiplicity spaces M_{pq}^m and its irreducibles (on discrete series by FQS), shows M_{pq}^m without others irreducibles that $L(c_m, h_{rs}^m)$. So, $M_{pq}^m = L(c_m, h_{p,q}^m)$ and we obtain the character of $L(c_m, h_{p,q}^m)$ as the character of M_{pq}^m , ever known by GKO construction. Theorem 1.3 follows.

1.3 Main results

The irreducible positive energy representations of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ are denoted $L(c, h)$ with Ω its cyclic vector. Our purpose is to give a complete proof of the classification of unitary representations, in such a way that we obtain directly the characters of the discrete series, without Feigin-Fuchs resolution [1]. The Neveu-Schwarz algebra is defined by:

$$\begin{cases} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{C}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n} \\ [G_r, L_n] &= (m - \frac{n}{2})G_{r+n} \\ [G_r, G_s]_+ &= 2L_{r+s} + \frac{C}{3}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{r+s} \end{cases}$$

with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, L_n^* = L_{-n}, G_r^* = G_{-r}$.

Positive energy means that $L(c, h) = H = \bigoplus H_n$, with $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, such that $L_0\xi = (n + h)\xi$ on H_n and $H_0 = \mathbb{C}\Omega$ (with $C\Omega = c\Omega$).

Lemma 1.1. *If $L(c, h)$ is unitary, then $c, h \geq 0$*

Theorem 1.2. *The classification of unitary representations $L(c, h)$ is:*

(a) *Continuous series: $c \geq 3/2$ and $h \geq 0$.*

(b) *Discrete series:* $(c, h) = (c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ with:

$$c_m = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{pq}^m = \frac{((m+2)p - mq)^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$$

with integers $m \geq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq m-1$, $1 \leq q \leq m+1$ and $p \equiv q[2]$.

Theorem 1.3. *The characters of the discrete series are:*

$$ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m))(t) = tr(t^{L_0 - c_m/24}) = \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot \Gamma_{pq}^m(t) \cdot t^{-c_m/24} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\chi_{NS}(t) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1 + t^{n-1/2}}{1 - t^n}, \quad \Gamma_{pq}^m(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^{\gamma_{pq}^m(n)} - t^{\gamma_{-pq}^m(n)}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\gamma_{pq}^m(n) = \frac{[2m(m+2)n - (m+2)p + mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$$

1.4 Goddard-Kent-Olive framework

We take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let H an irreducible unitary, projective, positive energy representation of the loop algebra $L\mathfrak{g}$. We define the character of H as: $ch(H)(t, z) = tr(t^{L_0 - \frac{C}{24}} z^{X_3})$. $L\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}$, and by Jacobi's triple product identity $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\frac{1}{2}k^2} z^k = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} (1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}} z)(1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}} z^{-1})(1 - t^n)$, we prove that $ch(\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})(t, z) = t^{-1/16} \chi_{NS}(t) \theta(t, z)$ with $\chi_{NS}(t) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} (\frac{1+t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-t^n})$ and $\theta(t, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\frac{1}{2}k^2} z^k$. Hence, let $H = L(j, \ell)$, and the theta functions $\theta_{n,m}(t, z) = \sum_{k \in \frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}} t^{mk^2} z^{mk}$, then applying the Weyl-Kac formula to $L\mathfrak{g}$: $ch(L(j, \ell)) = \frac{\theta_{2j+1, \ell+2} - \theta_{-2j-1, \ell+2}}{\theta_{1,2} - \theta_{-1,2}}$ (see [10], [11] or [22] p 62). Now, adapting the proof in [9] p 122, we obtain the product formula: $\theta(t, z) \cdot \theta_{p,m}(t, z) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q < 2(m+2) \\ p \equiv q[2]}} (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\alpha_{pq}^m(n)}) \theta_{q, m+2}(t, z)$ with $\alpha_{p,q}^m(n) = \frac{[2m(m+2)n - (m+2)p + mq]^2}{8m(m+2)}$. Now, $L\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ at level $\ell+2$; we deduce: $ch(L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m+1 \\ p \equiv q[2]}} F_{pq}^m \cdot ch(L(k, \ell+2))$, $F_{pq}^m(t) = t^{-1/16} \chi_{NS}(t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^{\alpha_{p,q}^m(n)} - t^{\alpha_{-p,q}^m(n)})$, $p = 2j+1$, $q = 2k+1$ and $m = \ell+2$; and the tensor product decomposition: $L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m+1 \\ p \equiv q[2]}} M_{pq}^m \otimes L(k, \ell+2)$ with M_{pq}^m the multiplicity space.

General GKO framework: Let \mathfrak{h} be Lie \star -superalgebra acting unitarily on a finite direct sum $H = \bigoplus M_i \otimes H_i$ with H_i irreducible and M_i the multiplicity space. We see that M_i is the inner product space of superintertwiners $Hom_{\mathfrak{h}}(H_i, H)$. Now, if \mathfrak{d} is a Lie \star -superalgebra acting on H and

H_i as unitary, projective, positive energy representations, whose difference $(\pi(D) - \sum \pi_i(D))$ supercommutes with \mathfrak{h} , then, so is on M_i , with cocycle, the difference of the others. Then, taking $\mathfrak{h} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$, we find $c_{M_{pq}^m} = \frac{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}{2}(1 - \frac{2g^2}{(\ell+g)(\ell+2g)}) = \frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)}) =: c_m$, because $m = \ell + 2$, $g = 2$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 3$. Now, the character of a $\mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$ -module H is : $ch(H)(t) = tr(t^{L_0 - \frac{C}{24}})$, then: $ch(M_{pq}^m)(t) = t^{-\frac{cm}{24}} \cdot \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot \Gamma_{pq}^m(t)$ with $\Gamma_{pq}^m(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^{\gamma_{pq}^m(n)} - t^{\gamma_{-pq}^m(n)})$, $\chi_{NS}(t) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1+t^{n-1/2}}{1-t^n}$ and $\gamma_{pq}^m(n) = \frac{[2m(m+2)n - (m+2)p + mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$. Hence, $h = h_{pq}^m = \frac{[(m+2)p - mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$ is the lowest eigenvalue of L_0 on M_{pq}^m ; let $(p', q') = (m - p, m + 2 - q)$, then:

$$ch(M_{pq}^m) \sim t^{-\frac{cm}{24}} \cdot \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot t^{h_{pq}^m} \cdot (1 - t^{\frac{pq}{2}} - t^{\frac{p'q'}{2}}).$$

Hence, $ch(M_{pq}^m) \cdot t^{\frac{cm}{24}} \sim t^{h_{pq}^m}$, and the h_{pq}^m -eigenspace of L_0 is one-dimensional, so $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ is a $\mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$ -submodule of M_{pq}^m , and $ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) \leq ch(M_{pq}^m)$. Finally, because M_{pq}^m is unitary, so is for $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ on the discrete series.

1.5 Kac determinant formula

From (c_m, h_{pq}^m) , we define h_{pq}^c , $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\varphi_{pp}(c, h) = (h - h_{pp}^c)$, $\varphi_{pq}(c, h) = (h - h_{pq}^c)(h - h_{qp}^c)$ if $p \neq q$, then $\varphi_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}[c, h]$ is irreducible. Let $V_n(c, h)$ the n -eigenspace of $D = L_0 - hI$ and $d(n)$ its dimension. Let $M_n(c, h)$ the matrix of $(., .)$ on $V_n(c, h)$ and $det_n(c, h) = det(M_n(c, h))$. For example, $M_0(c, h) = (\Omega, \Omega) = (1)$, $M_{\frac{1}{2}}(c, h) = (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\Omega, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\Omega) = (2h)$, $M_1(c, h) = (L_{-1}\Omega, L_{-1}\Omega) = (2h)$, and $M_{\frac{3}{2}}(c, h) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega) & (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega, G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega) \\ (G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega) & (G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega, G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2h + 4h^2 & 4h \\ 4h & 2h + \frac{2}{3}c \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, $det_{\frac{3}{2}}(c_m, h) = 8h[h^2 - (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{cm}{3})h + c/6] = 8h(h - h_{13}^m)(h - h_{31}^m)$, then, $det_{\frac{3}{2}}(c, h) = 8h(h - h_{13}^c)(h - h_{31}^c) = 8\varphi_{11}(c, h) \cdot \varphi_{13}(c, h) \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence, others examples permits to interpolate the Kac determinant formula:

$$det_n(c, h) = A_n \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} (h - h_{pq}^c)^{d(n-pq/2)} = A_n \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \leq q, p \equiv q[2]}} \varphi_{pq}^{d(n-pq/2)}(c, h)$$

with $A_n > 0$ independent of c and h .

To prove it, we will use singular vectors $s \in V(c, h)$, i.e. $L_0.s = (h + n)s$ with

$n > 0$ its level, and $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}^+.s = 0$. This is equivalent to $G_{1/2}.s = G_{3/2}.s = 0$, and so we easily find $(mG_{-3/2} - (m+2)L_{-1}G_{-1/2})\Omega \in V_{3/2}(c_m, h_{13}^m)$, $G_{-1/2}\Omega \in V_{1/2}(c, h_{11}^c)$, or $(L_{-1}^2 - \frac{4}{3}h_{22}^c L_{-2} - G_{-3/2}G_{-1/2})\Omega \in V_2(c, h_{22}^c)$. Now, $ch(V(c, h)) = t^{h-\frac{c}{24}}\chi_{NS}(t)$ and the singular vectors generate $K(c, h)$. So, $V(c, h)$ admits a singular vector of minimal level $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$ch(L(c, h)) \sim t^{h-\frac{c}{24}}\chi_{NS}(t)(1 - t^n).$$

Now, thanks to GKO coset construction:

$$ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) \leq ch(M_{pq}^m) \sim t^{-\frac{c_m}{24}}.\chi_{NS}(t).t^{h_{pq}^m}.(1 - t^{\frac{pq}{2}} - t^{\frac{p'q'}{2}})$$

So $V(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ admits a singular vector s at level $n' \leq \min(pq/2, p'q'/2)$ and for $n > n'$, det_n vanishes at (c_m, h_{pq}^m) for m sufficiently large integer. Then it vanishes at infinite many zeros of the irreducible φ_{pq} , which so φ_{pq} divides det_n . But s generates a subspace of dimension $d(n - n')$ at level n , so $d_n(c, h) = \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} (h - h_{pq}^c)^{d(n-pq/2)}$ divides det_n . Finally, a cardinality argument shows d_n and det_n , with the same degree in h . The result follows.

1.6 Friedan-Qiu-Shenker unitarity criterion

The FQS criterion was discovered for \mathfrak{Vir} by Friedan, Qiu and Shenker [3], but mathematicians estimated their proof too light, and then, in the same time, FQS [4] and Langlands [13] published a complete proof. At the beginning of our research on $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$, we decided to adapt the way of Langlands, but we find a mistake in this paper ([13] lemma 7b p 148: $p = 2, q = 1, m = 2, h_{pq}^m = \frac{5}{8}, M = 4$ or $p = 4, q = 1, m = 3, h_{pq}^m = \frac{7}{2}, M = 13$ yield case (B), but $(p, q) \neq (1, 1)$ and $m \not\geq q + p - 1$. In fact, we need to distinguish between $q \neq 1$ and $q = 1$, but not between $(p, q) \neq (1, 1)$ and $q = (1, 1)$. Next, we discovered that Sauvageot has ever published such an adaptation, without correction ([17] lemma 2 (ii) p 648). Then, we chose the way of FQS:

We are looking for a necessary condition on (c, h) for $V(c, h)$ has no ghost. First of all, if $V(c, h)$ admits no ghost then $c, h \geq 0$ (easy). Now, Kac determinant doesn't vanish on the region $h > 0, c > 3/2$, and for (c, h) large, we prove that the form $(., .)$ is positive. So by continuity, if $h \geq 0$ and $c \geq 3/2$, $V(c, h)$ admits no ghost. Now, on the region $0 \leq c < 3/2, h \geq 0$, the FQS criterion says that $V(c, h)$ admits ghosts if (c, h) does not belong to (c_m, h_{pq}^m) , with integers $m \geq 2, 1 \leq p \leq m - 1, 1 \leq q \leq m + 1$ and $p \equiv q[2]$,

ie, exactly the discrete series given by GKO construction ! To prove this result, we exploit the zero set of Kac determinants, constitutes by curves C_{pq} of equation $h = h_{pq}^c$ with $0 \neq p \equiv q[2]$. First of all, we restrict to C'_{pq} , the open subset of C_{pq} , between $c = 3/2$ and its first intersection at level $pq/2$. Let $p'q' > pq$, $C'_{p'q'}$ is a first intersector of C'_{pq} if at level $p'q'/2$, it is the first to intersect C'_{pq} starting from $c = 3/2$. We see that all these first intersections constitutes exactly the discrete series. Now, for each open region between the curves C'_{pq} , we can find n with \det_n negative on. This signifie that $V(c, h)$ admits ghost on, and so we can eliminate these regions. Hence now, we have to eliminate the intervals on C'_{pq} between the points of the discrete series. We start from the no-ghost region $h > 0, c > 3/2$ and we go towards such an interval. On the way, we encounter a (well choosen) curve vanishing to order 1; so on the other side, there is a ghost. We continue along the area of this curve with our ghost, up to an intersection point. Now, because the intersections are transversals, we can distinguish null vectors from the first curve to the second, and so our ghost continues to be a ghost on the other curve. Repeating this principle, we can go to the interval, without losing the ghost. Then, FQS criterion and theorem 1.2 follow.

1.7 Wassermann's argument

We show that the multiplicity space of the coset construction, is an irreducible representation of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra, which (as in [22] p 72 for \mathfrak{Vir}) gives directly the characters on the discrete series without the Feigin-Fuchs resolution [1]:

As a corollary of FQS criterion's proof, at levels $\leq M = \max(pq/2, p'q'/2)$, there exists only two singular vectors s and s' , at levels $pq/2$ and $p'q'/2$. Hence, $ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) \sim t^{h_{pq}^m - c_m/24} \chi_{NS}(t)(1 - t^{pq/2} - t^{p'q'/2})$, as for the multiplicity space M_{pq}^m , and so $ch(M_{pq}^m) - ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) = \chi_{NS}(t).t^{-c_m/24}o(t^{h_{pq}^m + M})$. Now, we know that $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ is a submodule of M_{pq}^m ; if M_{pq}^m admits an other irreducible submodule, by FQS criterion, it is of the form $L(c_m, h_{rs}^m)$; but through the lemma: $h_{pq}^m + M > m^2/8$ and $h_{rs}^m \leq \frac{m(m-2)}{8}$, we obtain, by coherence on the characters, the contradiction: $\frac{m^2}{8} < M + h_{pq}^m < h_{rs}^m \leq \frac{m(m-2)}{8}$. Then, $M_{pq}^m = L(c(m), h_{pq}^m)$ and $ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) = ch(M_{pq}^m)$, but the characters of the multiplicity spaces are ever known by GKO. The theorem 1.3 follows.

2 Goddard-Kent-Olive framework

2.1 Characters of $L\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

In this section, we take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let H a unitary, projective and positive energy representation of the loop algebra $L\mathfrak{g}$ (see section ??).

Remark 2.1. Thanks to $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow L\mathfrak{g} : X_a \mapsto X_0^a$, \mathfrak{g} acts on H , and by the previous work, the Virasoro algebra \mathfrak{Vir} acts on too:
 $[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{C}{12}m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}, C \text{ central}).$

Definition 2.2. A character of H as $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module is defined by:

$$ch(H)(t, z) = tr(t^{L_0 - \frac{C}{24}} z^{X_3})$$

Lemma 2.3. (Jacobi's triple product identity)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\frac{1}{2}k^2} z^k = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} (1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}} z)(1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}} z^{-1})(1 - t^n)$$

Proof. See [22] p 62. □

Remark 2.4. On section 4.2.1 of [15], $L\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}$, with $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}}(X_3) = S_0^3$.

Proposition 2.5. $ch(\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})(t, z) = t^{-1/16} \chi_{NS}(t) \theta(t, z)$ with

$$\chi_{NS}(t) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left(\frac{1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - t^n} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(t, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\frac{1}{2}k^2} z^k$$

Proof. C acts as multiplicative constant $c_{\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}} = \frac{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, so, $-\frac{c}{24} = -1/16$
 $[S_m^a, \psi_n^b] = i \sum_c \Gamma_{ab}^c \psi_{m+n}^c$, so, $[S_0^3, \psi_n^3] = 0$, $[S_0^3, \psi_n^1] = i\psi_n^2$, $[S_0^3, \psi_n^2] = -i\psi_n^1$.
Let $\varphi_n^3 = \psi_n^3$, $\varphi_n^1 = i\psi_n^1 - \psi_n^2$, $\varphi_n^2 = \psi_n^1 - i\psi_n^2$, then, $[S_0^3, \varphi_n^3] = 0$, $[S_0^3, \varphi_n^1] = \varphi_n^1$ and $[S_0^3, \varphi_n^2] = -\varphi_n^2$. Now, if $M = PDP^{-1}$, then, $tr(M) = tr(D)$ and $tr(z^M) = tr(z^D)$, but, $ad_{S_0^3}$ acts diagonally on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ with basis (φ_n^i) ,
 $[L_0, \varphi_m^i] = -m\varphi_m^i$, and $S_0^3 \Omega = 0$, so, it suffices to associate:
 $t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ to $\varphi_{-n+\frac{1}{2}}^3$, $t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z$ to $\varphi_{-n+\frac{1}{2}}^1$, and $t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z^{-1}$ to $\varphi_{-n+\frac{1}{2}}^2$ to find:

$$ch(\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})(t, z) = t^{-1/16} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} (1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}})(1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z)(1 + t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}z^{-1})$$

The result follows by the Jacobi's triple product identity. □

Definition 2.6. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\|t\| < 1$.

Let the theta functions:

$$\theta_{n,m}(t, z) = \sum_{k \in \frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}} t^{mk^2} z^{mk}$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $H = L(j, \ell)$, irreducible representation of $L\mathfrak{sl}_2$, then

$$ch(L(j, \ell)) = \frac{\theta_{2j+1, \ell+2} - \theta_{-2j-1, \ell+2}}{\theta_{1,2} - \theta_{-1,2}}$$

Proof. An application of the Weyl-Kac character formula to $L\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (see [10], [11] or [22] p 62). □

Proposition 2.8. (Product formula)

$$\theta(t, z) \cdot \theta_{p,m}(t, z) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q < 2(m+2) \\ p \equiv q[2]}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\alpha_{p,q}^m(n)} \right) \theta_{q, m+2}(t, z)$$

$$\text{with } \alpha_{p,q}^m(n) = \frac{[2m(m+2)n - (m+2)p + mq]^2}{8m(m+2)}$$

Proof. We adapt the proof in [7] or [9] p 122, to the super case:

$$\theta(t, z) \cdot \theta_{p,m}(t, z) = \sum_{k, k'} t^{\frac{1}{2}k^2 + mk'^2} z^{k+mk'}$$

Let $k = i$, $k' = \frac{p}{2m} + i'$ where $i, i' \in \mathbb{Z}$; we define s, s' by:

- $(m+2)s = k - 2k' = i - 2i' - \frac{p}{m}$
- $(m+2)s' = k + mk' = (m+2)(k' + s)$

Now, $p + 2(i - 2i') = 2(m+2)n + q$ with $0 \leq q < 2(m+2)$, $p \equiv q[2]$, then:

$$s = n - \frac{(m+2)p - mq}{2m(m+2)} \quad \text{and} \quad s' = n' + \frac{q}{2(m+2)} \quad n, n' \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{with } n' = n + i').$$

This gives a bijection between pairs (k, k') and triples (q, s, s') .

Now, $\frac{1}{2}k^2 + mk'^2 = \frac{1}{2}(ms + 2s')^2 + m(s - s')^2 = \frac{1}{2}m(m+2)s^2 + (m+2)s'^2$
and $\frac{1}{2}m(m+2)s^2 = \frac{1}{2}m(m+2)(n - \frac{(m+2)p - mq}{2m(m+2)})^2 = \alpha_{p,q}^m(n)$ □

Remark 2.9. On [15] section 4.2.3, $L\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes L(j, \ell)$ as unitary, projective, positive energy representation of level $\ell + 2$ (see [15] def. 4.36).

Corollary 2.10. Let $p = 2j + 1$, $q = 2k + 1$ and $m = \ell + 2$, then:

$$ch(\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes L(j, \ell)) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m+1 \\ p \equiv q[2]}} F_{pq}^m \cdot ch(L(k, \ell + 2))$$

$$\text{with } F_{pq}^m(t) = t^{-1/16} \chi_{NS}(t) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^{\alpha_{p,q}^m(n)} - t^{\alpha_{-p,q}^m(n)})$$

We apply theorem 2.7, propositions 2.5 and

Proof. $L\mathfrak{g}$ acts on H as $(I \otimes X + X \otimes I)$, then:

$ch(\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes L(j, \ell)) = ch(\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \cdot ch(L(j, \ell))$; now by proposition 2.8:

$$\theta(t, z) \cdot (\theta_{p,m}(t, z) - \theta_{-p,m}(t, z)) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq q < 2(m+2) \\ p \equiv q[2]}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\alpha_{pq}^m(n)} - t^{\alpha_{-p,q}^m(n)} \right) \theta_{q,m+2}(t, z)$$

But for $m + 2 \leq q' < 2(m + 2)$, $q' = 2(m + 2) - q$ with $1 \leq q \leq m + 2$. Now by symmetry, $\theta_{2(m+2)-q,m+2} = \theta_{-q,m+2}$, and $F_{p,2(m+2)-q}^m = -F_{pq}^m$ because $\alpha_{p,2(m+2)-q}^m(n) = \alpha_{-p,q}^m(-n - 1)$. Finally, $F_{p0}^m = F_{p,m+2}^m = 0$ because $\alpha_{p,0}^m(n) = \alpha_{-p,0}^m(-n)$ and $\alpha_{p,m+2}^m(n) = \alpha_{-p,m+2}^m(-n - 1)$; the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.11. (Tensor product decomposition)

$$\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes L(j, \ell) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m+1 \\ p \equiv q[2]}} M_{pq}^m \otimes L(k, \ell + 2)$$

with M_{pq}^m the multiplicity space.

Proof. By complete reducibility and remark 2.9, $\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes L(j, \ell)$ is a direct sum of irreducibles of type $L(k, \ell + 2)$; the result follows by corollary 2.10. \square

Corollary 2.12. As $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \ltimes \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ representations, we obtain;

$$\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m+1 \\ p \equiv q[2]}} M_{pq}^m \otimes (L(k, \ell + 2) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})$$

Proof. Recall proposition 4.35 and remark 4.36 of [15].

Next, the characters of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules are defined as for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ -modules. \square

2.2 Coset construction

2.2.1 General framework

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie \star -superalgebra acting unitarily on an inner product space H , a direct sum of irreducibles of finitely many isomorphic type H_i :

$$H = \bigoplus_i M_i \otimes H_i \quad \text{with } M_i \text{ the multiplicity space.}$$

Remark 2.13. \mathfrak{h} acts on H as $\pi(X) = \sum I \otimes \pi_i(X)$.

Definition 2.14. Let $K_i = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H_i, H)$, space of homomorphisms that supercommute with \mathfrak{h} (graded intertwiners).

Reminder 2.15. $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H_i, H_j) = \delta_{ij}\mathbb{C}$, $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H) = \bigoplus \text{End}(M_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.16. K_i admits a natural inner product.

Proof. If $S, T \in K_i$, then $T^*S \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H_i) = \mathbb{C}$, and so, $(S, T) = T^*S$ defines the inner product. \square

Lemma 2.17. $\rho : \bigoplus K_i \otimes H_i \rightarrow H$ such that: $\rho(\sum \xi_i \otimes \eta_i) = \sum \xi_i(\eta_i)$, is a unitary isomorphism of \mathfrak{h} -modules.

Proof. Let $\sum m_i \otimes \eta_i \in H$ and $\xi_i : \eta_i \mapsto m_i \otimes \eta_i$, then $\xi_i \in K_i$, because \mathfrak{h} acts on H as $\sum I \otimes \pi_i$; and so, ρ is surjective.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } (\rho(\sum \xi'_i \otimes \eta'_i), \rho(\sum \xi_j \otimes \eta_j)) &= \sum (\xi'_i(\eta'_i), \xi_j(\eta_j)) = \\ \sum (\xi_j^* \xi'_i(\eta'_i), \eta_j) &= \sum (\xi_j^*, \xi'_i)(\eta'_i, \eta_j) = (\sum \xi'_i \otimes \eta'_i, \sum \xi_j \otimes \eta_j) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 2.18. An operator A on H which supercommutes with \mathfrak{h} , acts by definition, on each K_i by an A_i , and, identifying M_i and K_i , $A = \sum A_i \otimes I$

Let \mathfrak{d} be a Lie \star -superalgebra acting as $\pi(D)$ on H , and as $\pi_i(D)$ on H_i .

Corollary 2.19. If $\forall D \in \mathfrak{d}$, $\sigma(D) = \pi(D) - \sum I \otimes \pi_i(D)$ supercommutes with \mathfrak{h} , then \mathfrak{d} acts on M_i as $\sigma_i(D)$ with $\sigma(D) = \sum \sigma_i(D) \otimes I$.

Definition 2.20. Let $B_F(D_1, D_2) := [\pi_F(D_1), \pi_F(D_2)] - \pi_F[D_1, D_2]$.

Remark 2.21. If F is unitary, projective and positive energy (see definition ??), the cocycle b_F is defined by $B_F(D_1, D_2) = b_F(D_1, D_2)I_F$.

Proposition 2.22. *If in addition to corollary 2.19, π and π_i are unitary, projective, positive energy representations, then, so is σ_i , and the cocycle of \mathfrak{d} on M_i is the difference of the cocycles on H and on H_i .*

Proof. $\pi = \sum(I \otimes \pi_i + \sigma_i \otimes I)$ and $B_H = \sum(I \otimes B_{H_i} + B_{M_i} \otimes I)$.

$M_i \otimes H_i \subset H$, so, $b_H I = b_{M_i \otimes H_i} I = I \otimes B_{H_i} + B_{M_i} \otimes I$.

Finally, $B_{M_i} \otimes I = b_H I - I \otimes B_{H_i} = (b_H - b_{H_i})I \otimes I$ \square

2.2.2 Application

We apply the previous result to corollary 2.12 with $\mathfrak{h} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$.

Convention 2.23. *To have a graded Lie bracket coherent with tensor product, we need to introduce the following convention: let A, B be superalgebras, then, the product on $A \otimes B$ is defined as follows:*

$$(a \otimes b).(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(b)\varepsilon(c)}ac \otimes bd \quad \text{with } \varepsilon(b), \varepsilon(c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Lemma 2.24. *Let \mathfrak{t} be a Lie superalgebra, then, by the previous convention:*

$$[X \otimes I + I \otimes X, Y \otimes I + I \otimes Y]_\varepsilon = [X, Y]_\varepsilon \otimes I + I \otimes [X, Y]_\varepsilon$$

Corollary 2.25. *The Witt superalgebra $\mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$ acts on the multiplicity space M_{pq}^m as unitary, projective and positive energy representation, with central charge,*

$$c_{M_{pq}^m} = \frac{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2g^2}{(\ell + g)(\ell + 2g)}\right) = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)}\right)$$

$m = \ell + 2$, $g = 2$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 3$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{W}_{1/2}$ acts as $\sum I \otimes X$ on $\bigoplus M_{pq}^m \otimes (L(k, \ell+2) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})$, as $X \otimes I + I \otimes X$ on $\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})$, it's projective thanks to lemma 2.24, unitary, positive energy, and their difference supercommutes with $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by proposition ???. Now by proposition 2.22:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M_{pq}^m} &= c_{\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (L(j, \ell) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}})} - (c_{L(k, \ell+2) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}}) = \\ &= c_{\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}} + c_{L(j, \ell)} + c_{\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}} - (c_{L(k, \ell+2)} + c_{\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}}}) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{\ell + \frac{1}{3}g}{\ell + g} \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - \frac{\ell + g}{\ell + 2g} \dim(\mathfrak{g}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 2.26. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the diagonal inclusion, then the previous construction is equivalent to the Kac-Todorov one [8]: the coset action of $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ is given by $L_n^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - L_n^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $G_r^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - G_r^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$. There exists also an manner to write this action only with ordinary loop algebra, due to Goddard, Kent, Olive [5] (used and discussed in [16] section 2.7).

2.3 Character of the multiplicity space

Definition 2.27. $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ -module's character is $ch(H)(t) = tr(t^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}})$.

Corollary 2.28. (Character of the multiplicity space)

$$ch(M_{pq}^m)(t) = t^{-\frac{c(m)}{24}} \cdot \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot \Gamma_{pq}^m(t) \quad \text{with}$$

$$\Gamma_{pq}^m(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^{\gamma_{pq}^m(n)} - t^{\gamma_{-pq}^m(n)}), \quad \chi_{NS}(t) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1 + t^{n-1/2}}{1 - t^n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\gamma_{pq}^m(n) = \frac{[2m(m+2)n - (m+2)p + mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$$

Proof. It follows by corollaries 2.10, 2.11, and, $\gamma_{pq}^m(n) = \alpha_{pq}^m(n) - \frac{1}{16} + \frac{cm}{24}$. \square

Lemma 2.29. The lowest eigenvalue of L_0 on M_{pq}^m is:

$$h = h_{pq}^m = \frac{[(m+2)p - mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$$

Proof. $\chi_{NS}(t) \sim 1 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\min\{\gamma_{pq}^m(n), \gamma_{-pq}^m(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \gamma_{pq}^m(0) = h_{pq}^m$. \square

Lemma 2.30. Let $(p', q') = (m - p, m + 2 - q)$, then:

$$ch(M_{pq}^m) \sim t^{-\frac{cm}{24}} \cdot \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot t^{h_{pq}^m} \cdot (1 - t^{\frac{pq}{2}} - t^{\frac{p'q'}{2}})$$

Proof. $\gamma_{-pq}^m(0) = \gamma_{pq}^m(0) + \frac{pq}{2}$, $\gamma_{-pq}^m(-1) = \gamma_{pq}^m(0) + \frac{p'q'}{2}$; and, $\gamma_{pq}^m(0)$, $\gamma_{-pq}^m(0)$, $\gamma_{-pq}^m(-1)$ are the three lowest numbers of $\{\gamma_{pq}^m(n), \gamma_{-pq}^m(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. \square

Corollary 2.31. $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ is a $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ -submodule of M_{pq}^m

Proof. $ch(M_{pq}^m) \cdot t^{\frac{cm}{24}} \sim t^{h_{pq}^m}$, then, the h_{pq}^m -eigenspace of L_0 is one-dimensional; $L(c(m), h_{pq}^m)$ is the minimal $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ -submodule of M_{pq}^m containing it. \square

Corollary 2.32. $ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) \leq ch(M_{pq}^m) \sim t^{h_{pq}^m - \frac{cm}{24}} \cdot \chi_{NS}(t) (1 - t^{\frac{pq}{2}} - t^{\frac{p'q'}{2}})$

Theorem 2.33. (*Unitarity sufficient condition*)

Let integers $m \geq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq m-1$, $1 \leq q \leq m+1$ and $p \equiv q[2]$, then:
 $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ is a unitary highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$

Proof. Recall denitions 2.5 and 2.21 of [15].

M_{pq}^m is unitary; so is its $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ -submodule $L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$. □

Remark 2.34. *FQS criterion proves this is all its discrete series.*

3 Kac determinant formula

3.1 Preliminaries

Let $c, h \in \mathbb{C}$, recall section 2.3 of [15] for definitions of Verma module $V(c, h)$, sesquilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) and maximal proper submodule $K(c, h)$.

Let $(c, h) = (c_m, h_{pq}^m) = (\frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)}), \frac{[(m+2)p-mq]^2-4}{8m(m+2)})$.

Lemma 3.1. $h_{pq}^m + h_{qp}^m = \frac{p^2+q^2-2}{16}(1 - 2c_m/3) + \frac{(p-q)^2}{4}$ and $h_{pq}^m \cdot h_{qp}^m = \frac{1}{16^2}[2(p-q)^2 - (1-2c_m/3)(pq-p-q-1)] \cdot [2(p-q)^2 - (1-2c_m/3)(pq+p+q+1)]$

Then, solving the system of the lemma, we can define $h_{pq}^c, \forall c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.2. $\varphi_{pp}(c, h) = (h - h_{pp}^c)$ and $\varphi_{pq}(c, h) = (h - h_{pq}^c)(h - h_{qp}^c)$ if $p \neq q$

Lemma 3.3. $\varphi_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}[c, h]$ is irreducible.

Definition 3.4. Let $V_n(c, h)$ the n -eigenspace of $D = L_0 - hId$ generated by the vectors $G_{-j_\beta} \dots G_{-j_1} L_{-i_\alpha} \dots L_{-i_1} \Omega$ such that $\sum i_s + \sum j_s = n$, with $0 < i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_\alpha, \frac{1}{2} \leq j_1 < \dots < j_\beta$; let $d(n)$ its dimension.

Remark 3.5. $d(n) < \infty, d(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$.

Clearly $(V_n(c, h), V_{n'}(c, h)) = 0$ if $n \neq n'$ and $V(c, h) = \bigoplus V_n(c, h)$.

Definition 3.6. Let $M_n(c, h)$ the matrix of (\cdot, \cdot) on $V_n(c, h)$ and $\det_n(c, h) = \det(M_n(c, h))$

Examples 3.7. $M_0(c, h) = (\Omega, \Omega) = (1)$, $M_{\frac{1}{2}}(c, h) = (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\Omega, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\Omega) = (2h)$, $M_1(c, h) = (L_{-1}\Omega, L_{-1}\Omega) = (2h)$, and, $M_{\frac{3}{2}}(c, h) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega) & (G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega, G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega) \\ (G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1}\Omega) & (G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega, G_{-\frac{3}{2}}\Omega) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2h + 4h^2 & 4h \\ 4h & 2h + \frac{2}{3}c \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 3.8. $\det_{\frac{3}{2}}(c_m, h) = 8h[h^2 - (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{c_m}{3})h + c/6] = 8h(h - h_{13}^m)(h - h_{31}^m)$, then, $\det_{\frac{3}{2}}(c, h) = 8h(h - h_{13}^c)(h - h_{31}^c) = 8\varphi_{11}(c, h) \cdot \varphi_{13}(c, h) \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{C}$

Theorem 3.9. (Kac determinant formula)

$$\det_n(c, h) = A_n \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} (h - h_{pq}^c)^{d(n-pq/2)} = A_n \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \leq q, p \equiv q[2]}} \varphi_{pq}^{d(n-pq/2)}(c, h)$$

with $A_n > 0$ independent of c and h .

3.2 Singulars vectors and characters

Definition 3.10. A vector $s \in V(c, h)$ is singular if:

- (a) $L_0.s = (h + n)s$ with $n > 0$ (its level)
- (b) $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}^+.s = 0$ (recall definition 2.13 of [15])

Remark 3.11. Let $n > 0$, $s \in V_n(c, h)$ is singular iff $G_{1/2}.s = G_{3/2}.s = 0$

Examples 3.12. $(mG_{-3/2} - (m+2)L_{-1}G_{-1/2})\Omega \in V_{3/2}(c_m, h_{13}^m)$,
 $G_{-1/2}\Omega \in V_{1/2}(c, h_{11}^c)$, $(L_{-1}^2 - \frac{4}{3}h_{22}^c L_{-2} - G_{-3/2}G_{-1/2})\Omega \in V_2(c, h_{22}^c)$

Definition 3.13. $K_n(c, h) = \ker(M_n(c, h)) = \{x \in V_n(c, h); (x, y) = 0 \ \forall y\}$

Proposition 3.14. The singular vectors generate $K(c, h)$.

Proof. They clearly generate a subspace of $K(c, h)$. Now, let $v \in K_n(c, h)$, then $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}^+.v$ is of level $< n$ and $\exists n'$ such that $(\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}^+)^{n'+1}.v = \{0\}$ and $(\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}^+)^{n'}.v \neq \{0\}$ and contains a singular vector generating v . \square

Definition 3.15. Let $V^s(c, h)$ the minimal $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ -submodule of $V(c, h)$ containing s and $V_n^s(c, h) = V^s(c, h) \cap V_n(c, h)$.

Lemma 3.16. Let s singular of level n' , then $\dim(V_n^s(c, h)) = d(n - n')$.

Proof. $D.(A.s) = nA.s \iff D.(A\Omega) = (n - n')A\Omega$ \square

Lemma 3.17. $ch(V(c, h)) = t^{h - \frac{c}{24}} \chi_{NS}(t)$

Proof. $ch(V(c, h)) = tr(t^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}) = t^{h - \frac{c}{24}} \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}} d(m)t^m$

$$\chi_{NS}(t) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left(\frac{1 + q^{n - \frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^n} \right) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} (1 + q^{n - \frac{1}{2}})(1 + q^n + q^{2n} + \dots)$$

Identifying $q^{n - \frac{1}{2}}$ to $G_{n - \frac{1}{2}}$, q^n to L_n , the coefficient of q^m is exactly $d(m)$. \square

Corollary 3.18. $ch(V^s(c, h)) = t^{n + h - \frac{c}{24}} \chi_{NS}(t)$, with n the level of s .

Remark 3.19. $\dim(L_n(c, h)) = \dim(V_n(c, h)) - \dim(K_n(c, h))$, then,
 $ch(L(c, h)) = ch(V(c, h)) - \sum_s ch(V^s(c, h)) + \sum_{s, s'} ch(V^s \cap V^{s'}) - \dots$

Corollary 3.20. $V(c, h)$ admits a singular vector s of minimal level n if and only if $ch(L(c, h)) \sim t^{h - \frac{c}{24}} \chi_{NS}(t)(1 - t^n)$

3.3 Proof of the theorem

Proposition 3.21. *For a fixed c , \det_n is polynomial in h of degree*

$$M = \sum_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} d(n - pq/2)$$

Proof. It's clear that only the product of the diagonal entries of $M_n(h, c)$ gives a non-zero contribution to the highest power of h (and that its coefficient is > 0 and independent of c); and that M is the sum of possibilities $\sum m_i + \sum n_j$ such that $\sum im_i + \sum jn_j = n$ with $i \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $m_n(p, q)$ be the number of such partitions of n , in which $p/2$ appears exactly q times; then, $M = \sum_{0 < pq/2 \leq n} q \cdot m_n(p, q)$.

Now, if $p \equiv 0[2]$, the number of such partitions in which $p/2$ appears $\geq q$ times is $d(n - pq/2)$; so, $m_n(p, q) = d(n - pq/2) - d(n - p(q+1)/2)$.

If $p \equiv 1[2]$, then, $m_n(p, q) = 0$ if $q > 1$ and $m_n(p, 1) = d(n - p/2) - m_{n-p/2}(p, 1)$; so, by induction, $m_n(p, 1) = \sum_q (-1)^{q+1} d(n - pq/2)$, where $d(0) = 1$ and $d(k) = 0$ if $k < 0$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sum_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv 0[2]}} q \cdot m_n(p, q) + \sum_{\substack{0 < p/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv 1[2]}} m_n(p, 1) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv 0[2]}} q \cdot (d(n - pq/2) - d(n - p(q+1)/2)) + \sum_{\substack{0 < p/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv 1[2]}} \left(\sum_q (-1)^{q+1} d(n - pq/2) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv 0[2]}} d(n - pq/2) + \sum_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv 1[2]}} (-1)^{q+1} d(n - pq/2) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the (p, q) term with $q \equiv 1[2]$ of the first sum, vanishes with the $(p', q') = (q, p)$ term of the second, so the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.22. *If $t \mapsto A(t)$ is a polynomial mapping into $d \times d$ matrices and $\dim(\ker A(t_0)) = k$, then $(t - t_0)^k$ divides $\det(A(t))$.*

Proof. Take a basis v_i such that $A(t_0)v_i = 0$ for $i = 1 \dots k$.

Thus, $(t - t_0)$ divides $A(t)v_i$ for $i = 1 \dots k$, and $(t - t_0)^k$ divides $\det(A(t))$. \square

Lemma 3.23. Consider $\det_n(c, h)$ as polynomial in h for c fixed. If n' is minimal such that $\det_{n'}$ vanishes at $h = h_0$, then $(h - h_0)^{d(n-n')}$ divides \det_n .

Proof. Clearly $V(c, h_0)$ admits a singular vector s of level n' . Now, $V_n^s(c, h_0)$ is $d(n - n')$ dimensional, and is contained in $\ker(M_n(c, h_0))$. So, the result follows by previous lemma. \square

Lemma 3.24. \det_n vanishes at h_{pq}^c , for $0 < pq/2 \leq n$, $p \equiv q[2]$.

Proof. Let $m \geq 2$ integer, $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$, $1 \leq q \leq m + 1$, $p \equiv q[2]$. Thanks to GKO construction, we have corollary 2.32:

$$ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) \leq ch(M_{pq}^m) \sim t^{-\frac{cm}{24}} \cdot \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot t^{h_{pq}^m} \cdot (1 - t^{\frac{pq}{2}} - t^{\frac{p'q'}{2}})$$

So, $V(c_m, h_{pq}^m)$ admits a singular vector at level $\leq \min(pq/2, p'q'/2)$ by corollary 3.20, and then, $\dim(\ker(M_n(c_m, h_{pq}^m))) > 0$ for $n \geq pq/2$. Hence, \det_n vanishes at h_{pq}^m for m sufficiently large integer. But then, \det_n vanishes at infinite many zeros of the irreducible φ_{pq} , which so, divides \det_n . \square

Proof of the theorem 3.9 By lemma 3.23 and 3.24, \det_n is divisible by $d_n(c, h) = \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} (h - h_{pq}^c)^{d(n-pq/2)}$ since the h_{pq}^c are distincts for generic c . Now, by proposition 3.21, \det_n and d_n have the same degree M , and the coefficient of h^M is > 0 and independent of c, h . So, the result follows. \square

4 Friedan-Qiu-Shenker unitarity criterion

4.1 Introduction

Recall section 2.3 of [15] for definitions of Verma module $V(c, h)$, sesquilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) and ghost. The goal of this section is to give a proof of the FQS theorem for the Neveu-Schwarz algebra, in a parallel way that [4] give for the Virasoro algebra, exploiting Kac determinant formula:

$$\det_n(c, h) = A_n \prod_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} (h - h_{pq}^c)^{d(n-pq/2)}$$

with $A_n > 0$ independent of c and h .

Lemma 4.1. *If $V(c, h)$ admits no ghost then $c, h \geq 0$*

Proof. Since $L_n L_{-n} \Omega = L_{-n} L_n \Omega + 2nh\Omega + c \frac{n(n^2-1)}{12} \Omega$,

we have $(L_{-n} \Omega, L_{-n} \Omega) = 2nh + \frac{n(n^2-1)}{12} c \geq 0$.

Now, taking n first equal to 1 and then very large, we obtain the lemma. \square

Proposition 4.2. *If $h \geq 0$ and $c \geq 3/2$ then $V(c, h)$ admits no ghost.*

Now, it suffices to classify no ghost cases for $h \geq 0$ and $0 \leq c < 3/2$.

Lemma 4.3. *$m \mapsto c_m$ is an inscreasing bijection from $[2, +\infty[$ to $[0, 3/2[$.*

The FQS theorem gives as necessary condition exactly the same discrete series that GKO construction gives as sufficient condition (theorem 2.33):

Theorem 4.4. *(FQS unitary criterion)*

Let $h \geq 0$ and $0 \leq c < 3/2$; $V(c, h)$ admits ghost if (c, h) does not belong to:

$$c = c_m = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)}\right), \quad h = h_{p,q}^m = \frac{[(m+2)p - mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)}$$

with integers $m \geq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq m-1$, $1 \leq q \leq m+1$ and $p \equiv q[2]$.

Remark 4.5. *Combining theorem 2.33 and lemma 4.1, we see that $h_{pq}^m \geq 0$*

4.2 Proof of proposition 4.2

Proof. By continuity, it suffices to treat the region $R = \{h > 0, c > 3/2\}$. Now, we see that $(c, h_{pq}^c) \notin R$, so by Kac determinant formula (theorem 3.9), $\det_n(c, h)$ is nowhere zero on R . So, it suffices to prove that the form is positive for one pair $(c, h) \in R$.

If $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{r_1}; b_1, \dots, b_{r_2})$, let $n(\alpha) = \sum a_i + \sum b_j$, $r(\alpha) = r_1 + r_2$. Let $u_\alpha = A_\alpha \Omega$, with A_α the product of L_{-a_i} and G_{-b_j} in the following order: if $n \leq m$ then L_{-n} or G_{-n} is before L_{-m} or G_{-m} ; example: $G_{-1/2} L_{-1}^2 G_{-5/2} \Omega$. (u_α) form a basis of $V(c, h)$.

Now, thanks to this order, we easily prove by induction on $n(\alpha) + n(\beta)$ that:

$$(u_\alpha, u_\beta) = \begin{cases} c_\alpha h^{r(\alpha)} (1 + o(1)) & \text{with } c_\alpha > 0 \text{ if } \alpha = \beta \\ o(h^{(r(\alpha)+r(\beta))/2}) & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}$$

So, $\forall n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ and $\forall u \in V_n(c, h)$, $u = \sum_{n(\alpha)=n} \lambda_\alpha u_\alpha$ and:

$$(u, u) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta (u_\alpha, u_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_\alpha|^2 (u_\alpha, u_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\beta) (u_\alpha, u_\beta) > 0$$

for h sufficiently large and independent of u .

Then, the form is positive for h large, and so is $\forall (c, h) \in R$ by continuity. \square

4.3 Proof of theorem 4.4

Definition 4.6. Let C_{pq} be the curve $h = h_{pq}^c$ with $0 \neq p \equiv q[2]$.

Remark 4.7. C_{pq} intersects the line $c = 3/2$ at $h = \frac{(p-q)^2}{8} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (h_{pq}^m)$. For $0 \leq c < \frac{3}{2}$, we see the curve as (c_m, h_{pq}^m) with $m \in [2, +\infty[$.

Definition 4.8. Let $\kappa = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q < p+1 \\ 0 & \text{if } q > p+1 \end{cases}$

Proposition 4.9. When the curve C_{pq} first appears at level $n = pq/2$, if $q = 1$, it intersects no other vanishing curves, else, its first intersection moving forward $c = 3/2$ is with $C_{q-2+\kappa, p+\kappa}$, at $m = p + q - 2 + \kappa$.

Proof. Let $(p', q') \neq (p, q)$ with $p'q' \leq pq$, then the intersection points $C_{pq} \cap C_{p'q'}$ are given by $[(m+2)p - mq]^2 = [(m+2)p' - mq']^2$, with two

solutions m_+ and m_- such that $[(p - q) \pm (p' - q')]m_{\pm} = 2(\mp p' - p)$.

Now, if $[(p - q) \pm (p' - q')] = 0$ then $0 = -(p + p') \leq -2$ or $(p, q) = (p', q')$, contradiction; hence, $m_{\pm} = 2 \frac{\mp p' - p}{(p - q) \pm (p' - q')}$ and $\frac{1}{m_{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q \pm q'}{p \pm p'} - 1 \right)$.

If $q = 1$, we see that $\frac{q \pm q'}{p \pm p'} > 0 \Rightarrow p'q' > pq$, contradiction.

Else, $q \neq 1$; let $(p - q) \pm (p' - q') = -2s$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$.

The goal is to find the biggest $m_{\pm} \in [2, +\infty[$ among the following solutions, parametered by $s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $p'q' \leq pq$:

- $(p'_+, q'_+) = (q - s + k, p + s + k)$ and $m_+ = \frac{p+q+k-s}{s}$
- $(p'_-, q'_-) = (p + s + k, q - s + k)$ and $m_- = -\frac{k-s}{s}$

But, at fixed s and k , $m_+ - m_- = \frac{p+q+2k}{s}$, and $p + q + 2k = p'_+ + p'_- > 0$, so, if $s > 0$, we choose m_+ , and if $s < 0$, we choose m_- .

Let $s > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(p', q') = (q - s + k, p + s + k)$. $p'q' \leq pq \Rightarrow k < s$. The biggest m is given by $s = 1$ and $k = 0$. Now, $(q - 1)(p + 1) > pq$ if $q > p + 1$, so we take $k = -1$ in this case and so $(p', q') = (q - 2 + \kappa, p + \kappa)$, at $m = p + q - 2 + \kappa$.

Let $s < 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(p', q') = (p + s + k, q - s + k)$. $p'q' \leq pq \Rightarrow k < -s$. Now if $-\frac{k-s}{s} = m > p + q - 2$, then $k > -s(p + q - 1) \geq -s$, contradiction. \square

Definition 4.10. For $q = 1$, let C'_{p1} be all of C_{p1} for $m \geq 2$, ie, $0 \leq c \leq \frac{3}{2}$, else, define C'_{pq} to be the part of C_{pq} for which $m > p + q - 2 + \kappa$.

C'_{pq} is the open subset of C_{pq} between $c = \frac{3}{2}$ and its first intersection at level $pq/2$. The first step of the proof of theorem 4.4 is to eliminate all on $0 \leq c \leq \frac{3}{2}$, except the curves C'_{pq} .

Definition 4.11. Let $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$:

$$S_n = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < pq/2 \leq n \\ p \leq q, p \equiv q[2]}} \{(c, h) \mid 0 \leq c < \frac{3}{2}, h_{pq}^c \leq h \leq h_{qp}^c \text{ or } h \leq h_{pp}^c\}$$

Lemma 4.12. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ is all $0 \leq c < \frac{3}{2}$ of the plane.

Proof. $\lim_{pq/2 \rightarrow \infty} (c_{p+q-2}) = 3/2$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow 3/2} (h_{pq}^c) = h_{pq}^{3/2} = \frac{(p-q)^2}{8}$. \square

Definition 4.13. Let $p'q' > pq$; $C_{p'q'}$ is a first intersector of C'_{pq} , if at level $p'q'/2$, it's the first starting from $c = 3/2$.

Proposition 4.14. The first intersectors on C'_{pq} are $C_{q-1+k, p+1+k}$, $k \geq \kappa$, at $m = p + q + k - 1$.

Proof. We take the same structure that proof of proposition 4.9.

$(p', q') = (q - 1 + k, p + 1 + k)$ corresponds to $s = 1$ and $k \geq \kappa \Leftrightarrow p'q' > pq$. Now, let $(u, v) = (q - s' + k', p + s' + k')$ or $(p + s' + k', q - s' + k')$, if $m' = \frac{p+q+k'-s'}{s'}$ or $-\frac{k'-s'}{s'} \geq m$ and $uv \leq p'q'$, then, $k' = k$ and $s' = 1$. So, $C_{q-1+k, p+1+k}$ first intersects C'_{pq} . Now, if $m' > m - 1$ and $s' \neq 1$, then, $uv > p'q'$; so, there is no other first intersector. \square

Lemma 4.15. The discrete series of theorem 4.4 consists exactly of these first intersections F_{pqk} , on all the C'_{pq} .

Proof. $m = p + q + k - 1$ with $k \geq \kappa$, so, the set of such m is $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

Now, let $m \geq 2$ fixed, then, $p + q \leq m + 1 - \kappa$

But, $h_{pq}^m = h_{m-p, m+2-q}^m$, so we obtain the discrete series:

Integers $m \geq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$, $1 \leq q \leq m + 1$ and $p \equiv q[2]$. \square

Remark 4.16. We can write the series without redundancy as:
 $m \geq 2$, $1 \leq p < q - 1 \leq m$ and $p \equiv q[2]$.

Definition 4.17. Let $R_{11} = \{0 \leq c < 3/2, h < 0\}$;

for $p \neq 1$, let $R_{1p} = R_{p1}$ be the open region bounded by C'_{p1} , C'_{1p} and $C'_{p-2,1}$;
for $q \neq 1$, R_{pq} , the open region bounded by C'_{pq} , $C'_{p-1, q-1}$ and $C'_{q-2+\kappa, p+\kappa}$.

Lemma 4.18. No vanishing curves at level $n = pq/2$ intersect R_{pq} .

Proof. A vanishing curve which did intersect R_{pq} , would have to intersect its boundary. This does not happen by proposition 4.14. \square

Lemma 4.19. $S_n - S_{n-1/2} = \bigcup_{\substack{pq/2=n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} R_{pq} \cup C'_{pq}$

Proof. $S_{1/2} = R_{11} \cup C'_{11}$, $C_{pq} - C'_{pq} \subset S_{n-1/2}$ and lemma 4.18. \square

Lemma 4.20. All S_n is eliminated, except C'_{pq} , $pq/2 \leq n$.

Proof. By previous lemma, $S_n = \bigcup_{\substack{pq/2 \leq n \\ p \equiv q[2]}} R_{pq} \cup C'_{pq}$.

Now, we see that, for $p \neq q$, R_{pq} is between C_{pq} and C_{qp} ; R_{pp} is under C_{pp} , and for $p'q' \leq pq$ with $(p', q') \neq (p, q)$, R_{pq} is necessarily over $C_{p'q'}$ and $C_{q'p'}$, or under them. So (recall section 3.1), $\varphi_{pq}(c, h) < 0$ and $\varphi_{p'q'}(c, h) > 0$ on R_{pq} , and $d(0) = 1$; then, $\det_{pq/2}(c, h) < 0$ and $V(c, h)$ admits ghosts on R_{pq} . \square

Now, given lemma 4.12 and 4.20, we have to eliminate the intervals on C'_{pq} , between the points of the discrete series.

Definition 4.21. Let I_{pqk} be the open subset of C'_{pq} between $F_{p,q,k-1}$ and $F_{p,q,k}$ for $k > \kappa$; and $I_{pq\kappa}$, beyond $F_{pq\kappa}$.

Lemma 4.22. $C'_{pq} = \bigcup_{k \geq k_0} I_{pqk} \cup F_{pqk}$.

The goal is to eliminate the open subset I_{pqk} , $k \geq \kappa$.

Recall that when $C_{p'q'} = C_{q-1+k,p+1+k}$ first appears at level $n' = p'q'/2$, there is a ghost on $R_{p'q'}$; we will show that this ghost continue to exist on I_{pqk} .

Proposition 4.23. At level $n' = p'q'/2$, the first $k - \kappa + 1$ successives intersections on $C_{p'q'}$ are with $C'_{p+k-j,q+k-j}$ ($\kappa \leq j \leq k$) at its first intersection $F_{p+k-j,q+k-j,j}$, with $m = p + q + 2k - j - 1$

Proof. Let $(p'', q'') = (q' - s + k', p' + s + k')$.

If $p''q'' \leq p'q'$ and, $\frac{p'+q'+k'-s'}{s'}$ or $-\frac{k'+s'}{s'}$ $\geq m = p + q + k - 1$, (ie, with $j = k$), then $s' = 1$; now, by proposition 4.9, the first is with $j = \kappa$. \square

Lemma 4.24. Let M_t be an d -dimensional polynomial matrix with $\det(M_t)$ vanishing to first order at $t = 0$; then, the null space is 1-dimensional.

Proof. Let $\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_d(t)$ be the eigenvalues of M_t ; they are analytic in t . Now, $\det(M_t) = \prod \alpha_i(t) = \prod (\alpha_i^0 + \alpha_i^1 t + \dots)$, vanishing to first order at $t = 0$, so, there exists a unique i such that $\alpha_i^0 = 0$, and $\dim \ker M_0 = 1$. \square

Corollary 4.25. Let $(c, h) \in C_{pq}$, not on an intersection at level $pq/2$, then, the null space of $V_{pq/2}(c, h)$ is 1-dimensional.

Lemma 4.26. Let $(c, h) = F_{pqk}$, then, $\det_{(p'q'-pq)/2}(c, h + pq/2) \neq 0$.

Proof. If this determinant were zero, then $(c, h + pq)$ would be on a vanishing curve C_{uv} of level $\leq \frac{1}{2}(p'q' - pq)$: $h_{pq}^m + pq/2 = h_{uv}^m$ and $uv \leq p'q' - pq$. Then, we find (u, v) or $(v, u) = (ms' - p, (m + 2)s' + q)$, with $s' \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. So now, $uv \leq p'q' - pq$ is equivalent to $((1 + s')m - p)((1 - s')(m + 2) - q) \geq 0$, but $1 \leq p < m$ and $1 \leq q < m + 2$, so, $s' = 0$, contradiction. \square

To read the followings proposition and its proof, recall section 3.12. It's strictly parallel that in [4] for the Virasoro algebra.

Proposition 4.27. *For $j = \kappa, \dots, k$ there is an open neighborhood $U_{p'q'j}$ of $F_{p+k-j, q+k-j, j} = F_{q'-1-j, p'+1-j, j}$ and a nowhere zero analytic function $v_j(c, h)$ defined on $U_{p'q'j}$ with values in $V_{n'}(c, h)$, with $n' = p'q'/2$, such that:*

$$v_j(c, h) \in K_n(c, h) \Leftrightarrow (c, h) \in C_{p'q'}$$

Proof. Write $p'' = p + k - j$, $q'' = q + k - j$ and $n'' = p''q''/2 < n'$. Let $U = U_{p'q'j}$ be a neighborhood of $F_{p+k-j, q+k-j, j}$, small enough that it intersects no vanishing curves but $C_{p'q'}$ and $C_{p''q''}$ at level n' . Choose coordinates (x, y) in U , real analytic in (c, h) , such that $C_{p''q''}$ is given by $x = 0$ and $C_{p'q'}$ by $y = 0$. This is possible because the intersection is transversal. At level n'' , $x = 0$ is the only vanishing curve in U . $K_{n''}(0, y)$ is one dimensional and form a line bundle over the vanishing curve $x = 0$ near $y = 0$. Let $v_j''(0, y)$ be a nowhere zero analytic section of this line bundle, and let $v_j''(x, y)$ be an analytic function on U with values in $V_{n''}(x, y)$, which extends this section. Let $V''(x, y) = V_{n''}^{v_j''}(x, y)$ of dimension $d(n' - n'')$. For $y \neq 0$, the order of vanishing of $\det_{n'}(x, y)$ at $x = 0$ is also $d(n' - n'')$. Therefore, for $y \neq 0$, $V''(0, y) = K_{n'}(0, y)$. Let $V'(x, y)$ such that $V_{n'} = V'' \oplus V'$ and we write:

$$M_{n'}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} xQ(x, y) & xR(x, y) \\ xR(x, y)^t & S(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

with Q, S symmetric and 3 blocks divisible by x because $V''(0, y) \subset K_{n'}(0, y)$.

The key point now, is that $Q(0, 0)$ is non-degenerate. To see this, first note that $v_j''(0, y)$ is singular, $M_{n'}(0, y)v_j''(0, y) = 0$ and $L_0v_j''(0, y) = (h + p''q''/2)v_j''(0, y)$; recall that $(0, y) = (c, h) \in C_{p''q''}$. Now, since all is analytic, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in V''(x, y)$:

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (A.v_j''(x, y), B.v_j''(x, y)) = ([B^*, A]v'', v'') + (B^*v'', A^*v'')$$

$$= ([B^\star, A]\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Omega})(v'', v'') + o(x) = cte.x(A.\tilde{\Omega}, B.\tilde{\Omega}) + o(x),$$

with $\tilde{\Omega}$ the cyclic vector of $V(c, h + p''q''/2)$; so:

$$Q(x, y) = M_{(p'q' - p''q'')/2}(c, h + p''q''/2) + x.M'(x, y).$$

Since $(0, 0) = F_{p''q''j}$, lemma 4.26 gives $\det(Q(0, 0)) \neq 0$; so, $Q(x, y)$ is non-degenerate on all U (we can replace U by a small neighborhood of $(0, 0)$).

Let $W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -Q^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and make the change of basis:

$$M_{n'} \mapsto W^t M_{n'} W = \begin{pmatrix} xQ(x, y) & 0 \\ 0 & T(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $V'''(x, y)$ be the new complement of $V''(x, y)$, on which $T(x, y)$ defined the inner product. The order of vanishing argument implies that $\det(T(x, y))$ is non-zero for $y \neq 0$ and vanishes to first order at $y = 0$. The one dimensional null space of $T(x, 0)$ is $K_{n'}(x, 0)$ for $x \neq 0$. At $x = y = 0$, the one dimensional null space of $T(0, 0)$ and $V''(0, 0)$, span the $d(n'-n'')+1$ dimensional $K_{n'}(0, 0)$. By the same argument which gave $v_j''(x, y)$, we can choose a nowhere zero analytic function $v_j(x, y)$ on U , with values in $V'''(x, y)$ such that $v_j(x, 0)$ is in the null space of $T(x, 0)$ and therefore in $K_{n'}(x, 0)$. Since $T(x, y)$ is non-degenerate for $y \neq 0$, $v_j(x, 0)$ is not in $K_{n'}(x, y)$ if $y \neq 0$ \square

Definition 4.28. Let $J_{p'q'j}$, $\kappa < j \leq k$, be the open interval on $C_{p'q'}$ between $F_{p+k-j, q+k-j, j}$ and $F_{p+k-j-1, q+k-j-1, j}$, and let $J_{p'q'\kappa}$ be the open interval on $C_{p'q'}$ lying between $c = 3/2$ and $F_{p+k-\kappa, q+k-\kappa, \kappa}$.

Definition 4.29. Let $W_{p'q'j}$, $\kappa \leq j \leq k$ be a neighborhood of a point of $J_{p'q'j}$, which intersects no other vanishing curves on level n' , such that: :

$J_{p'q'j} \subset U_{p'q'j-1} \cup W_{p'q'j} \cup U_{p'q'j}$ if $j > \kappa$, and $\emptyset \neq U_{p'q'\kappa} \cap W_{p'q'\kappa} \subset R_{p'q'}$

Lemma 4.30. For each j , $\kappa \leq j \leq k$, there is a nowhere zero analytic function $w_j(c, h)$ on $W_{p'q'j}$ with values in $V_{n'}(c, h)$, such that $w_j(c, h)$ is in $K_{n'}(c, h)$ if and only if (c, h) is on $J_{p'q'j}$, and:

$$w_j = \begin{cases} f_j v_j & \text{on } W_{p'q'j} \cap U_{p'q'j} \\ g_j v_{j-1} & \text{on } W_{p'q'j} \cap U_{p'q'j-1} \quad (j \neq \kappa) \end{cases}$$

where f_j, g_j are nonzero function.

Proof. $K_{n'}(c, h)$ is trivial on $W_{p'q'j}$, except on $J_{p'q'j}$, where $\dim(K_{n'}) = 1$. \square

Lemma 4.31. I_{pqk} is eliminated on level $n' = (q - 1 + k)(p + 1 + k)/2$.

Proof. By proposition 4.2, $M_{n'}(c, h)$ is positive on $h \geq 0, c \geq 3/2$. Now, at level n' , we can go from this sector to $W_{p'q'\kappa}$ without crossing a vanishing curve, so, $(w_\kappa, w_\kappa) > 0$ before crossing $C_{p'q'}$. But it vanishes to first order on $C_{p'q'}$, so, after crossing it, w_κ becomes a ghost. Now, by lemma 4.30 and induction, so is for $v_\kappa, w_{\kappa+1}, v_{\kappa+1}, \dots$ up to $v_k(c, h) \in I_{pqk} \cap U_{p'q'k}$. Finally, $v_k(c, h)$ continues to be a ghost on all I_{pqk} , because I_{pqk} cross no other vanishing curve on level n' . \square

Lemmas 4.12, 4.20, 4.22 and 4.31 imply theorem 4.4 and theorem 1.2.

5 Wassermann's argument

We need to recall sections 2.3 and 3.12; by lemma 4.15 the discrete series are the intersections of C'_{pq} and $C'_{p'q'}$ at $m = p + q + k - 1$, $k \geq \kappa$, with $(p', q') = (q - 1 + k, p + 1 + k) = (m - p, m + 2 - q)$, ie, $h_{pq}^m = h_{m-p, m+2-q}^m$. Let $M = \max(pq/2, p'q'/2)$. This section will prove theorem 1.3, thanks to an argument that A. Wassermann uses for the Virasoro case in [22].

Lemma 5.1. *At level $\leq M$, we find only two singular vectors s and s' at level $pq/2$ and $p'q'/2$.*

Proof. We can suppose $p'q' > pq$; by proof of proposition 4.27:

$$K_n(c_m, h_{pq}^m) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } n < pq/2 \\ \mathbb{C}s & \text{if } n = pq/2 \\ V_n^s(c_m, h_{pq}^m) & \text{if } pq/2 \leq n < p'q'/2 \\ V_n^s(c_m, h_{pq}^m) \oplus \mathbb{C}s' & \text{if } n = p'q'/2 \end{cases}$$

Then, by proposition 3.14, the result follows. \square

Corollary 5.2. $ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) \sim \chi_{NS}(t).t^{h_{pq}^m - c_m/24}(1 - t^{pq/2} - t^{p'q'/2})$

Proof. By section 3.12 and lemma 5.1. \square

Lemma 5.3. $h_{pq}^m + M > m^2/8$

Proof. $h_{pq}^m + M = \max(\gamma_{-p,q}^m(0), \gamma_{-p,q}^m(-1))$.

$\gamma_{-p,q}^m(0) = \frac{x^2-4}{8m(m+2)}$, $\gamma_{-p,q}^m(-1) = \frac{(x-2m(m+2))^2-4}{8m(m+2)}$, with $x = (m+2)p + mq$.

If $\gamma_{-p,q}^m(0) > m^2/8$, it's ok.

Else, $\frac{x^2-4}{8m(m+2)} \leq m^2/8 \Leftrightarrow x^2 \leq m^4 + 2m^2 + 4 < (m+1)^4$

So, $\gamma_{-p,q}^m(-1) = \frac{[2m(m+2)-x]^2-4}{8m(m+2)} > \frac{[2m(m+2)-(m+1)^2]^2-4}{8m(m+2)} \geq \frac{m^4+2m^3}{8m(m+2)} = m^2/8$. \square

Theorem 5.4. *The multiplicity space M_{pq}^m is exactly $L(c_m, h_{p,q}^m)$.*

Proof. By corollary 2.31, $L(c_m, h_{p,q}^m)$ is a $\mathfrak{Vir}_{1/2}$ -submodule of M_{pq}^m ; if M_{pq}^m admits another irreducible submodule (of central charge c_m), then, by theorem 4.4, it is on the discrete series, of the form $L(c_m, h_{r,s}^m)$. Now, by lemma 2.30 and corollary 5.2: $ch(M_{pq}^m) - ch(L(c_m, h_{p,q}^m)) = \chi_{NS}(t).t^{-c_m/24}o(t^{h_{pq}^m+M})$.

So we need $h_{rs}^m > M + h_{pq}^m$; but, $h_{rs}^m = \frac{[(m+2)r-ms]^2-4}{8m(m+2)} \leq \frac{(m^2-2)^2-4}{8m(m+2)} = \frac{m(m-2)}{8}$.

So, by lemma 5.3, $\frac{m^2}{8} < M + h_{pq}^m < h_{rs}^m \leq \frac{m(m-2)}{8}$, contradiction. \square

Theorem 5.5. *The characters of the discrete series are:*

$$\begin{aligned} ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m))(t) &= \chi_{NS}(t) \cdot \Gamma_{pq}^m(t) \cdot t^{-c_m/24} \quad \text{with} \\ \chi_{NS}(t) &= \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1 + t^{n-1/2}}{1 - t^n}, \quad \Gamma_{pq}^m(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^{\gamma_{pq}^m(n)} - t^{\gamma_{-pq}^m(n)}) \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_{pq}^m(n) &= \frac{[2m(m+2)n - (m+2)p + mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. $ch(L(c_m, h_{pq}^m)) = ch(M_{pq}^m)$, the result follows by corollary 2.28. \square

Remark 5.6. *(Tensor product decomposition)*

$$\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes L(j, \ell) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m+1 \\ p \equiv q[2]}} L(c_m, h_{pq}^m) \otimes L(k, \ell + 2)$$

with $p = 2j + 1$, $q = 2k + 1$, $m = \ell + 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

We then recover a result due to Frenkel in [2]:

Corollary 5.7. $\mathcal{F}_{NS}^{\mathfrak{g}} = L(0, 2) \oplus L(1, 2)$ as $L\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

Proof. It suffices to take $j = \ell = 0$, and to see that $c_2 = h_{11}^2 = h_{13}^2 = 0$. \square

Corollary 5.8. *(Duality) Let H be an irreducible positive energy representation of the loop superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, let A be the operator algebra generated by the modes of the coset operators L_n and G_r , let B be the operator algebra generated by the modes of the diagonal loop superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, A and B are each other algebraic graded commutant (see [22]).*

Definition 5.9. *(Vertex algebra supercommutant or centralizer algebra) Let V be a vertex superalgebra and W a vertex sub-superalgebra, then, the vertex algebra supercommutant of W is the vertex superalgebra corresponding to the vectors $v \in V$ such that the modes of the corresponding field supercommute with the modes of fields for vectors of W (see [12]).*

Corollary 5.10. *(Vertex superalgebra duality) In the vertex superalgebra generated by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, the vertex superalgebras generated by the Neveu-Schwarz coset and the diagonal loop superalgebra, are each others supercommutants.*

References

- [1] B. L. Feigin, D. B. Fuchs, *Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra*. Topology (Leningrad, 1982), 230–245, Lecture Notes in Math., 1060, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [2] I. B. Frenkel, *Two constructions of affine Lie algebra representations and boson-fermion correspondence in quantum field theory*. J. Funct. Anal. 44 (1981), no. 3, 259–327
- [3] D. Friedan, Z. Qiu, S. Shenker, *Conformal invariance, unitarity, and critical exponents in two dimensions*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984), no. 18, 1575–1578.
- [4] D. Friedan, Z. Qiu, S. Shenker, *Details of the nonunitarity proof for highest weight representations of the Virasoro algebra*. Comm. Math. Phys. 107 (1986), no. 4, 535–542.
- [5] P. Goddard, A. Kent, D. Olive, *Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras*. Comm. Math. Phys. 103 (1986), no. 1, 105–119.
- [6] V.F.R. Jones, *Fusion en algèbres de von Neumann et groupes de lacets (d’après A. Wassermann)*., Sminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95. Astérisque No. 237 (1996), Exp. No. 800, 5, 251–273.
- [7] V. G. Kac, D. H. Peterson, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms*. Adv. in Math. 53 (1984), no. 2, 125–264.
- [8] V. G. Kac, I. T. Todorov, *Superconformal current algebras and their unitary representations*. Comm. Math. Phys. 102 (1985), no. 2, 337–347.
- [9] V. G. Kac, A. K. Raina, *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, 2. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987.
- [10] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and the Dedekind η -function*. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 8 (1974), no. 1, 77–78 (English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. 8 (1974), 68–70).

- [11] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [12] V. G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*. University Lecture Series, 10. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [13] R. P. Langlands, *On unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra*. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and their applications (Montreal, PQ, 1986), 141–159, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1988.
- [14] T. Loke, *Operator algebras and conformal field theory for the discrete series representations of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{S}^1)$* , thesis, Cambridge 1994.
- [15] S. Palcoux, *Neveu-Schwarz and operators algebras I : Vertex operators superalgebras*, arXiv:1010.0078 (2010)
- [16] S. Palcoux, *Neveu-Schwarz and operators algebras III : Subfactors and Connes fusion*, 2010, arXiv:1010.0076 (2010)
- [17] F. Sauvageot, *Représentations unitaires des super-algèbres de Ramond et de Neveu-Schwarz*. Comm. Math. Phys. 121 (1989), no. 4, 639–657.
- [18] V. Toledano Laredo, *Fusion of Positive Energy Representations of $LSpin(2n)$* , thesis, Cambridge 1997, arXiv:math/0409044 (2004)
- [19] R. W. Verrill, *Positive energy representations of $L^\sigma SU(2r)$ and orbifold fusion*. thesis, Cambridge 2001.
- [20] A. J. Wassermann, *Operator algebras and conformal field theory*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zrich, 1994), 966–979, Birkhuser, Basel, 1995.
- [21] A. J. Wassermann, *Operator algebras and conformal field theory. III. Fusion of positive energy representations of $LSU(N)$ using bounded operators*. Invent. Math. 133 (1998), no. 3, 467–538.
- [22] A. J. Wassermann, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras*, 1998, arXiv:1004.1287 (2010)
- [23] A. J. Wassermann, *Subfactors and Connes fusion for twisted loop groups*, arXiv:1003.2292 (2010)